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Writing Systems and Linguistic Structure

“written language is a product of linguistic awareness” (Aronoff 1985)

• Reflects the categories present in spoken language
  - segment, syllable, word, etc.
• Embodies a theory of language
  - though also affected by cultural history
Traditional Typology of Writing

• Ideographic
  – not truly linguistic; semasiographic
• Logographic
  – most often “morphographic”
• Syllabic
  – or is it moraic?
• Alphabetic
  – segments, potentially just consonants
Moraic or Syllabic?

“In addition to the syllable and the segment, writing systems exist that make use of the constituents *mora* and *rhyme*, and the notion *head.*”

“[Pure] syllabaries are exceedingly rare.”

Poser (1992)
Moraic or Syllabic?

“After all, it is not really the syllable, but rather the light syllable which recurs as a unit of representation in one script type after another.”

Ratcliffe (2001)
Against the Moraic Analysis

• CV systems may include non-moraic elements
• CV systems may fail to write all moraic elements
• CV is not a moraic constituent
• CV is really just a minimal syllable
CV as a constituent

The mora-linked onset
Are they constituents?

Or are they weight units?
Constituency of CV

If onset links to head mora:

\[
[ \ [ \text{ta} \ ]_{\mu} \ [ \text{n} \ ]_{\mu} \ ]_{\sigma}
\]

If onset links directly to syllable:

\[
[ \ t \ [ \text{a} \ ]_{\mu} \ [ \text{n} \ ]_{\mu} \ ]_{\sigma}
\]
Other Constituencies

CV

$[ [ t a ]_\mu ]_\sigma$

$[ t [ a ]_\mu ]_\sigma$

CCV

$[ [ t r a ]_\mu ]_\sigma$

$[ t r [ a ]_\mu ]_\sigma$

CV:C

$[ t a ]_\mu [ a n ]_\mu$

$t [ a ]_\mu [ a n ]_\mu$

$[ t a ]_\mu [ a ]_\mu [ n ]_\mu$

$t [ a ]_\mu [ a ]_\mu [ n ]_\mu$
Japanese *kana*

The ultimate moraic example
Japanese *kana* as moraic

“Although the *kana* scripts are often called syllabaries, they are in fact moraic systems. Each symbol in the *kana* scripts represents one mora. Most of these are CV sequences, but final /N/ or /Q/ count as separate morae, and vowel length adds a mora.”

Rogers (2005)
Basic signs in *kana*

Number of signs = number of moras

- (C)V, the core syllables
- N, the “moraic nasal”
- vowel length
  - special sign in *katakana*
  - use second V sign in *hiragana*
Mora matches in hiragana

さむらい
<sa-mu-ra-i>

samurai
“warrior”

おかあさん
<o-ka-a-sa-N>

okāsan
“mother”
Mora matches in *katakana*

ネクタイ  
<ne-ku-ta-i>  
[ne]μ [ku]μ [taï]μμ  
*nekutai*  
“necktie”

ジーンズ  
<zi-L-N-zu>  
[jîn]μμμμ [zu]μ  
*jînzu*  
“jeans”
Derived signs in *kana*

**Gemination using “Q”**
- small version of /tu/ = [tsɯ]
- here, sign = mora

**Complex onset in [CyV]**
- `<Ci>` plus small version of `<yV>`
- here, sign ≠ mora
- similar for some borrowed sequences
Mora matches in *hiragana*

**しき**  
<si-ki>  
[ʃi]ᵣ [ki]ᵣ  
*šiki*  
“command”

**しっき**  
<si-Q-ki>  
[ʃik]ᵣᵣ [ki]ᵣ  
*šikki*  
“lacquerware”
Mora matches in *katakana*

ロケット  ヨーロッパ
<ro-ke-Q-to>  <yo-L-ro-Q-pa>
[ro][ket][to]  [yō][rop][pa]

*roketto*  *yōroppa*
“rocket”  “Europe”
Mora mismatches in *hiragana*

ちょっと じゅみょう
<ti-yo-Q-to> <zi-yu-mi-yo-u>
[čot]\_\_ [to]\_u [ju]\_μ [myō]\_μμ

čotto jumyō
“a little” “life span”
Mora mismatches in *katakana*

ギャッシュ <ki-ya-Q-si-yu>  
[kyaš] μμ [šu] μ

ファミコン <hu-a-mi-ko-N>  
[fa] μ [mi] μ [kon] μμ

*kyaššu*  
“cash”

*fami kon*  
“video game”
Japanese graphs write CV, which usually corresponds to a mora.
But parallel techniques are used to write non-moraic differences.
Other examples:
- Linear B “onset splitting”
- Mongolian ‘Phags-pa codas
Ethiopic Alphasyllabary

A systematic syllabary
Ethiopic Alphasyllabary
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Ethiopic Alphasyllabary

ä  u  i  a  e  (ɪ)  o
ʔ  ᧉ ሊ ላ ሌ ል ሎ ሏ ሐ ሑ ሒ
b  ሓ ሔ ሕ ሖ ሗ መ ሙ ሚ ማ ሜ
ʔ  ም ሞ ሟ ሠ ሡ ሢ ሣ ሤ ሥ ሦ
ʔ  ሧ ረ ሩ ሪ ራ ሬ ር ሮ ሯ ሰ
ʔ  ሱ ሲ ሳ ሴ ስ ሶ ሷ ሸ ሹ ሺ
Minimal words in Tigrinya

One heavy syllable:

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu \mu \\
b \ u \ n
\end{array} \]

*bun* ‘coffee’

Two light syllables:

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu \\
\mu \\
l \ o \ m \ i
\end{array} \]

*lomi* ‘today’
Minimal words in Tigrinya

\[ bu-n = \mathcal{N}^\mathcal{N} \quad \text{lo-mi} = \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}} \]
One sign per mora?

 sälmi
‘muddy soil’

 sälam
‘peace’

 salima
‘lightning source’
Gemination in Tigrinya

ʔabo ‘oleander’

ʔabbo ‘father’
Gemination in Tigrinya

ʔabo = ክሮ

ʔabbo = ክሮ
Sign ≠ Mora

አቡ ʔa-bo ʔabo ‘oleander’
ʔabbo ‘father’
not አቡ保定 a-b-bo

 sä-lä-mä sälämä ‘be calm’
sällämä ‘adorn’
not ኡእእመ sä-l-lä-mä
Sign ≠ Mora

የስበሩ
yi-sʌ-b-ru  yi.sʌb.ru  ‘they break’

የስበሩ
yi-sɪ-bʌ-ru  yi.sɪb.bʌ.ru  ‘they are broken’
Interim Summary 2

• Tigrinya writes CV, a supposed mora, and coda consonants.
• But omits gemination, which is exactly a mora.
• Other examples:
  – Cypriot
  – Akkadian (optional)
Against CV as a mora

Phonological considerations
Moras as Headed Constituents

Both moras and syllables impose minimal sonority threshold on their heads; syllabic $\geq$ moraic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Berber</th>
<th>Serbian</th>
<th>Kwa'kwala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vowel</td>
<td>vowel</td>
<td>$\sigma$ vowel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>$\sigma$ liquid</td>
<td>liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>nasal</td>
<td>$\mu$ nasal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma,\mu$</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obstruent</td>
<td>obstruent</td>
<td>obstruent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

But ultimately this is orthogonal to onset linking.

Zec (1988, 1995)
Moraic licensing

Bella Coola obstruent clusters often not in syllables, which are rather simple CRV:C.

Bagemihl (1991)
Bella Coola reduplication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ mi ] [ lixʷ ]</td>
<td>mil-milixʷ-ɭp</td>
<td>‘bear-berry’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ yaɄ ] k</td>
<td>yaɄ-yaɄk</td>
<td>‘do too much’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s [ tan ]</td>
<td>s-tan-tan-mts</td>
<td>‘mother’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɍ [ Ʉa ]</td>
<td>ɍ-Ʉa-Ʉa</td>
<td>‘wink’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qps [ ta ]</td>
<td>qps-ta-ta</td>
<td>‘to taste’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɍt [ kŋ ]</td>
<td>ɍt-kŋ-kŋ-ɭp</td>
<td>‘tree bark’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bella Coola reduplication

Bagemihl: copying begins at the first syllable
Alternative syllabification

Fricatives can head syllables, and stray consonants are moraless “semisyllables”.

\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta & \quad \sigma & \quad \sigma & \quad \sigma \\
\mu & \quad \mu & \quad \mu \\
q & \quad p & \quad s & \quad t & \quad a & \quad t & \quad a
\end{align*}
\]

Unmarked Reduplicants

The Emergence of the Unmarked (TETU) in Optimality Theory prevents copying of fricative–headed syllables:

*Peak/Obstruent >> Align–Prefix

Carlson (1997)
Branching moras affect timing

examples from Levantine Arabic

/ʃi.nab.hum/  /ki.taa.bi/  /ki.taab.hum/  
‘their grape’  ‘my book’  ‘their book’

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma & \quad \mu & \quad \mu \\
\mu & \quad \mu & \quad \\
\text{a} & \quad \text{b} & \quad \\
\end{align*}
\]
# Arabic consonant durations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>$V_\mu C_\mu$</th>
<th>$V_\mu VC_\mu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jordanian</td>
<td>88.4 ms</td>
<td>67.6 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrian</td>
<td>114.4</td>
<td>80.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanese</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>66.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Broselow, Huffman, Chen, and Hsieh (1995)
Broselow, Chen, and Huffman (1997)
## Arabic long–vowel durations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$V_{\mu} V_{\mu}$</th>
<th>$V_{\mu} VC_{\mu}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jordanian</td>
<td>161.0 ms</td>
<td>131.6 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrian</td>
<td>123.9</td>
<td>112.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanese</td>
<td>114.2</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Broselow, Huffman, Chen, and Hsieh (1995)
Broselow, Chen, and Huffman (1997)
### Crosslinguistic V durations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>$V_{\mu}$</th>
<th>$V_{\mu}VC_{\mu}$</th>
<th>$V_{\mu}V_{\mu}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malayalam</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runyambo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Broselow, Chen, and Huffman (1997)
Hubbard (1994, 1995)
Onset/Rhyme

Zhùyīn fúhào or bōpōmōfō:
onset (+ glide) + rhyme

/baj/ ㄅㄞ ㄅㄧㄠ <b-ai>
/daj/ ㄆㄞ ㄆㄧㄠ <d-ai>
/daw/ ㄉㄠ <d-au>
/bəŋ/ ㄆㄥ <b-eng>
/bjaw/ ㄆㄧㄠㄠ <b-i-ai>
Onset/Rhyme in Syllables

Poser includes onset/rhyme systems in his typology, but it’s not clear if this is consistent with his moraic representations.
The evidence for CV as a moraic constituent mora is not persuasive.
The overall consensus in the field is for onsets linked directly to the syllable node.
The “moraic writing system” analysis is not available.
CV as a syllable

An efficient core
with supplementation
Core syllables

• Every language has CV syllables
• Some also have V syllables
• (C)V is the minimal syllable
  - “core”
  - “universal”
  - “maximally unmarked”
• Cf. Onset, NoCoda, *Complex
“Core”, not “Light”

Tagalog recent perfective:

- ka-ta-trabaho ‘work’
- ka-bo-bloaut ‘give special treat’

If relevant notion were light syllable, would expect CCV instead.
Japanese syllables

Basic (C)V with five deviations:

- Coda N
- Coda C as part of geminate
- Long vowel
- Complex onset
- Novel CV in borrowings
Supplemented Japanese syllables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spoken</th>
<th>Written</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVN</td>
<td>+ mora</td>
<td>+ kana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVC</td>
<td>+ mora</td>
<td>+ small kana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVV</td>
<td>+ mora</td>
<td>+ kana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CyV</td>
<td>no new mora</td>
<td>+ small kana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>novel CV</td>
<td>no new mora</td>
<td>+ small kana</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Japanese *kana* and moras

- By the nature of Japanese phonology, nearly every deviation from CV yields a new mora.
- But CyV and borrowed CV sequences are also written with two *kana*.
- No good correlation between addition of *kana* and addition of mora.
Mycenaean and Linear B

- Core CV as in typical syllabary.
- Complex onsets written with echo vowel.
  - though not /s/ before a stop
- Codas not written.
  - cf. special case of final /ks/ and sonority
- No indication of vowel or consonant length.
  - except /w/ as second half of diphthong
- Small number of “optional” signs.
Unwritten Segments in Linear B

/kʰal.kós/  <ka–ko>  ‘bronze’
/poj.mēn/  <po–me>  ‘shepherd’
/gʷa.si.léws/  <qa–si–re–u>  ‘chief’
/wás.tu/  <wa–tu>  ‘town’
/am.phí/  <a–pi>  ‘around’
/spér.ma/  <pe–ma>  ‘seed’
### Onset Clusters in Linear B

| /knōs.sós/     | <ko-no-so>     | ‘Knossos’  |
| /trí.pos/     | <ti-ri-po>     | ‘tripod’   |
| /ktoj.nā.hōn/ | <ko-to-na-o>   | ‘of lands’ |
| /de.smōjs/     | <de-so-mo>     | ‘with bands’ |
| /ar.thmós/     | <a-to-mo>      | ‘league’   |
| /sta.thmós/   | <ta-to-mo>     | ‘sheepfold’ |
Final “Onset” Clusters

/á.kso.nes/ \(<a\text{-}ko\text{-}so\text{-}ne>\) \text{‘axles’}

/aj.ksmáns/ \(<ai\text{-}ka\text{-}sa\text{-}ma>\) \text{‘spear points’}

\(/k^w\text{sí.phe.he/}/\) \(<qi\text{-}si\text{-}pe\text{-}e>\) \text{‘two swords’}

/wá.naks/ \(<wa\text{-}na\text{-}ka>\) \text{‘king’}

/aj.thí.ok^w\text{s}/ \(<ai\text{-}ti\text{-}jo\text{-}qo>\) \text{‘Ethiopian’}
## Supplemented Linear B syllables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spoken</th>
<th>Written</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVC</td>
<td>+ mora</td>
<td>no sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVks</td>
<td>+ mora</td>
<td>+ sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV: , CVj</td>
<td>+ mora</td>
<td>no sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVw</td>
<td>+ mora</td>
<td>+ sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCV</td>
<td>no new mora</td>
<td>+ sign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Linear B signs and moras

- By the nature of Greek phonology, only some deviations from CV yield a new mora.
- The scribal practice largely ignored coda consonants and other moraic elements, but did write clusters with non-falling sonority.
  - salience of onset due to C in CV?
- Terrible correlation between addition of sign and addition of mora.
Complex syllables in Cypriot

Similar to Linear B (and related to it), but writes most coda consonants with an echo vowel or dummy <e> word–finally.

/\text{per.séw.tāj}/ \quad \langle \text{pe-re-se-u-ta-i} \rangle \quad \text{‘for Perseutas’}

/\text{dál.ton}/ \quad \langle \text{ta-la-to-ne} \rangle \quad \text{‘tablet’}

/\text{án.thrö.pos}/ \quad \langle \text{a-to-ro-po-se} \rangle \quad \text{‘man’}

/\text{gár}/ \quad \langle \text{ka-re} \rangle \quad \text{‘for’}

/\text{spér.ma}/ \quad \langle \text{se-pe-re-ma} \rangle \quad \text{‘seed’}
Supplemented Cypriot syllables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spoken</th>
<th>Written</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVC</td>
<td>+ mora</td>
<td>+ sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVC\textsubscript{i}.C\textsubscript{i}</td>
<td>+ mora</td>
<td>no sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV:</td>
<td>+ mora</td>
<td>no sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVV</td>
<td>+ mora</td>
<td>+ sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCV</td>
<td>no new mora</td>
<td>+ sign</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cypriot signs and moras

- The essential CV system hasn’t changed.
- Still deviates from a “moraic” system:
  - Nonwriting of long vowels and geminates.
  - Writing of onset clusters.
- Supplementary strategies have simply become more plentiful.
- No useful correlation between addition of sign and addition of mora.
Intentional minimality in Maya

For signs whose origin is known with some confidence, we can observe truncation of the morphographic value to CV.

<pa>  paw  ‘net’
<ka>  kay  ‘fish’
<ta>  tana  ‘house’
<po>  pom  ‘tree sap’
Complex syllables in Maya

Writes coda consonants with a “synharmonic” vowel — or if disharmonic, indicates a complex vowel with length or /h/.

| /tsul/  | <tsu–lu> | ‘dog’         |
| /mūt/   | <mu–ti>  | ‘bird’        |
| /pits/  | <pi–tsi> | ‘play ball’   |
| /čihk/  | <či–ku>  | ‘coati’       |
| /pat/   | <pa–ta>  | ‘acquire shape’ |
| /bāk/   | <ba–ki>  | ‘bone’        |
Supplemented Maya syllables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spoken</th>
<th>Written</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVC</td>
<td>no new mora?</td>
<td>+ sign CV&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV:C</td>
<td>+ mora</td>
<td>+ sign CV&lt;sub&gt;k&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVhC</td>
<td>+ mora?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The extra signs serve not to mark moraic information, but rather to partly indicate linguistically important distinctions: a coda consonant, and vowel length or /h/.
Syllabic signs in Akkadian

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>CV–V = CV:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>CV–VC = CVC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>CV–V–VC = CV:C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All vowels and consonants are written, but vowel length and gemination are often omitted.
Complex syllables in Akkadian

/ma.la/  <ma-la>  ‘whatever’
/baš.mu/ <ba-aš-mu>  ‘pertains’
/nak.la/ <nak-la>  ‘clever’
/liq.tī/ <liq-ti>  ‘selections’
/as.niq/ <as-niq>  ‘I checked’
/ṭup.pā.ni/ <ṭup-pa-a-ni>  ‘tablets’
Akkadian moraicity

/ra.bîm/  /i.kâš.šas.si/
'of the big one'          'defeats her'

<ra–bi–im>     <i–ka–ša–si>
                <i–ka–ša–as–si>
                <i–ka–aš–ša–as–si>
Supplemented Akkadian syllables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Moras</th>
<th>Graphs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>1 mora</td>
<td>1 in CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVC</td>
<td>2 moras</td>
<td>1 in CVC, 2 in CV-VC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV:</td>
<td>2 moras</td>
<td>1 in CV, 2 in CV-V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV:C</td>
<td>3 moras (?)</td>
<td>1 in CVC, 2 in CV-VC, 3 in CV-V-VC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Akkadian mappings

- Every sign is a possible syllable.
- Combinations of signs extend the system:
  - CV–VC for convenience or by necessity
  - CV–V for greater explicitness
- Priority to write every segment; moraic distinctions secondary.
  - relevance of segments to all languages, even if written with a syllabary
Korean syllable blocks

Alphabetic *han’gŭl* defines “syllable” on a partly morphological basis.

닭이
<talk-i>
[tal.gi]
‘chicken (SUBJ)’

값을
<kaps-ŭl>
[kap.sŭl]
‘price (OBJ)’

Similar abstraction from surface syllabification occurs in syllabaries.
The notion “syllabary”

Poser (1992):
“each syllable is represented by a distinct graph.”

Sproat (2000):
“ought to imply that every syllable of the language has a graphemic symbol associated with it.”
The notion “alphabet”

Poser (1992):
“each segment is represented by a distinct graph.”

Sproat (2000):
“symbols available to represent every phonemic segment of the language.”
Alphabets in practice

Ideally, every segment has a graph.

\[ \begin{align*}
[p] & \rightarrow p \\
[i] & \rightarrow e \\
\end{align*} \]

But in many cases, digraphs or other strategies are used to represent certain segments.

\[ \begin{align*}
[\check{c}] & \rightarrow ch \\
[\eta] & \rightarrow ng \\
\end{align*} \]
Syllabaries in practice

Ideally, every syllable has a graph.

\[ \text{[ta]} \rightarrow た \]
\[ \text{[ko]} \rightarrow こ \]

But in many cases, digraphs or other strategies are used to represent many syllables.

\[ \text{[tan]} \rightarrow たん \]
\[ \text{[kyo]} \rightarrow きょ \]
Efficiency in Japanese

Phonemes 20
(14 C, 5 V, plus N)

Syllables 276
(CVX, some CV excluded)

Kana 46
(with diacritics = 25 more)
Practical implementation

• An alphabet can reasonably reach full coverage of the segments.
  – but of course often doesn’t

• A syllabary must be much larger to cover all the possible syllables of a language.
  – so this situation is rarely achieved
    • Yi, perhaps Chinese
  – for simple reasons of scale, not because the syllable isn’t really the relevant unit
Implications

Adapted from Poser (1992)
1. Correspondence between Writing and Speech

“Writing systems make use of essentially the same constituents that phonologists do.”

Yes; but this set of constituents does not include the mora.
2. Phonological Constituency

“Their role in writing systems provides additional support for the syllable, mora, segment, and rhyme.”

Well, not the mora.

And we have to remember that writing systems are the products of cultural history, not pure reflections of language.
3. Alleged Atomicity of the Syllable

“The extreme rarity of syllabaries together with the evidence for subsyllabic analysis ... eviscerates the arguments for the psychological atomicity of the syllable that have been based on the putative predominance of syllabaries.”

This conclusion remains true, even if syllabaries are common; that constituent is salient and important, but not atomic.
Thanks!
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